



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,946	10/10/2003	Gerard P. Nikodem	20722-1	2770
7590	07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
John S. Beulick Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600 One Metropolitan Square St. Louis, MO 63102			CARTER, MONICA SMITH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Tate

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/683,946	NIKODEM, GERARD P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Monica S. Carter	3722	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/29/04.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 11-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 11-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 8, 9, 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Burke et al. (5,716,688).

Regarding claims 8 and 12, Burke et al. disclose a security tag (5) used to conceal information comprising a first portion (10) with first side (17) secured to a medium (Col. 3, lines 45-55) and second side (16) coupled to second portion (100D). The first portion comprising two ends and a body between (See figure 3).

Regarding claim 9, Burke et al. disclose the second portion as being separately removable from the tag (See figure 4).

Regarding claim 11, Burke et al. disclose the information being contained on the inner surfaces for the first and second portion (Col. 2, lines 55-57).

Regarding claim 14, when the second portion is removed from the tag, an opening is formed in the tag (See figure 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 13, 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burke et al. in view of Ritter (2,363,472).

Burke et al. disclose the claimed invention except for placing the security tag on a postcard. They do disclose that the security tag can be placed on a pliable surface (Col. 1, lines 35-48). Ritter teaches putting such a security tag on a postcard. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to place Burke et al.'s security tag on a postcard to conceal information.

Regarding claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and 7; see the above rejections for claims 8, 9, 14, 11 and 12 respectively.

Regarding claims 15-17 and 19, Ritter, in conjunction with Burke et al., disclose the claimed method as set forth in the above rejections to claims 1 and 4.

Regarding claims 3, 13, 18 and 20-24, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the sections of the security tag of any required material depending on the result desired by the end user, since it has been held to within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of design choice.

In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. The indicated allowability of claims 5-7 and 10-12 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Burke et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) seen above.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-9 and 11-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The newly sited references disclose the security tag.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (571)-272-4475. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (6:00am-3:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MSC

Monica S. Carter
MONICA S. CARTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER